AMENDMENTS TO THE BIDDING DOCUMENTS

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
1	Chapter II/BDS 1.3	Contract implementation period: 929 days from the effective date of the Contract.	**Contract Execution Period** • The duration for survey, design, supply of goods, construction, installation, system integration, testing and commissioning, and reliability operation testing shall be completed within 14 months (from the effective date of the EPC Contract to the Date of Completion of Works (issuance of the Provisional Acceptance Certificate – PAC)). • Warranty period: 02 years (24 months) from the Date of Completion of Works (issuance of the Provisional Acceptance Certificate – PAC) to the date of issuance of the Final Acceptance Certificate (FAC).	Adjustment of the Contract Implementation Schedule
2	Chapter III/Table No. III.01	From 01 January 2011 to the Bid Closing Time, the Bidder shall have performed Similar Contract(s) in the capacity of a main contractor (independently or as a member of a Consortium/Joint Venture), a management contractor(6), or a subcontractor, meeting the following criteria:	From January 01, 2011 up to the Bid Closing Time, the Bidder, acting as the prime contractor (independently or as a member of a Joint Venture/Consortium), management contractor(6), or subcontractor, must have successfully completed at least one (01) similar contract under one of the following cases:	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
		The Bidder (The single Bidder or the leader of the Consortium/Joint venture) shall meet at least one of the followings: **a**. The Bidder shall demonstrate that they have successfully[8] completed, one (01) EPC contract outside his home country for the coal-fired thermal power plant including SO_2 removal system using WFGD technology, NOx removal using SCR technology, and electrostatic precipitator (ESP) system with Unit capacity ≥ 300MW, and Contract price ≥ 1,700,000,000,000 VND or equivalent[9], In the role of a Contractor (a single entity or a consortium member. *Or* **B**. The Bidder shall demonstrate that they have successfully[8] completed, one (01) EPC contracts for upgrading SO_2 removal system using WFGD technology, nox removal using SCR technology, and electrostatic precipitator (ESP) system for the coal-fired thermal power plant with: Unit capacity ≥ 300MW, and	**Case a:** The Bidder shall demonstrate that it has successfully completed[8] up to three (03) EPC or EP or PC or EC contracts for new construction with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW, or retrofitting/upgrading works for coal-fired thermal power plants with unit's capacity ≥ 300 MW, including one or more of the following systems (equivalent to one (01) similar contract): • SO_2 removal system using WFGD technology; • NOx removal system using SCR technology; • Electrostatic Precipitator (ESP) system; with the total value of three (03) contracts being ≥ VND 1,700,000,000,000 or equivalent[9], in the capacity of Contractor (as an independent legal entity or a consortium member). Among the above three (03) contracts, there must be at least one (01) EPC contract for new construction with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW, or retrofiting/upgrading works for a coal-fired power plant with unit capacity ≥	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
		Contract price ≥ 1,700,000,000,000VNĐ or equivalent[9] . in the role of a contractor (a single entity or a consortium member). *Or* **c**. The Bidder shall demonstrate that they have successfully[8] completed, a minimum of 3 EPC Contracts including (considered equivalent to one Contract) Contract price ≥ 1,700,000,000,000 VNĐ or Equivalent[9]: i. Design/Engineering, Procurement, Construction for the new installation / upgrading SO_2 removal system using WFGD technology for the coal-fired thermal power plant with a unit's capacity ≥ 300MW and ii. Design/Engineering, Procurement, Construction for the new installation / upgrading NOx removal using SCR technology for the coal-fired thermal power plant with a unit's capacity ≥ 300MW and ii. Design/Engineering, Procurement, Construction for the new installation / upgrading electrostatic precipitator (ESP) system for the coal-fired thermal	300 MW, including all three aforementioned systems (WFGD, SCR, ESP), with a contract value ≥ VND 850,000,000,000 or equivalent [9]. *(Example for Case a: The Bidder provides one (01) EPC contract including all three (03) systems with a contract value ≥ VND 850,000,000,000 and two (02) additional contracts (each contract including 01, 02, or all 03 systems (SCR, ESP, FGD)) so that the total value of the three (03) contracts reaches ≥ VND 1,700,000,000,000.)* **Or** **Case b:** The Bidder shall demonstrate that it has successfully completed [8] up to five (05) EPC or EP or PC or EC contracts for the following individual systems: i. Up to two (02) contracts for new construction or retrofitting/upgrading of SO_2 removal systems using WFGD technology. ii. Up to two (02) contracts for new construction or retrofitting/upgrading of NOx removal systems using SCR technology. iii. Up to two (02) contracts for new construction or retrofitting/upgrading of	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
		power plant with a unit's capacity ≥ 300MW. In case the lead member of the Consortium/Joint venture has only one (01) or two (02) out of the three (03) similar Contracts specified under Item (c), the remaining Consortium/Joint venture members shall be required to provide the full remaining contract(s) to ensure that the Consortium/Joint venture, as a whole, fully satisfies the requirements set forth in Item (c). 2. Other member(s) of the Consortium/Joint venture (if any): Every other member(s) of the Consortium/Joint venture shall demonstrate that they have successfully[8] completed the works as same as their tasks assigned in the consortium, in one (01) Contract in the role of a Contractor (a single entity or a Consortium/Joint venture member[9]) or subcontractor. In case a Consortium/Joint Venture member is assigned to undertake the work scope (C) of the Consortium/Joint Venture, such member shall demonstrate that it has successfully completed[8] works	Electrostatic Precipitator (ESP) systems. for coal-fired thermal power plants with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW, with the total value of the above contracts being ≥ VND 1,700,000,000,000 or equivalent [9], including up to three (03) EPC contracts for new construction with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW, or retrofiting/upgrading works with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW, (one (01) contract for WFGD system, one (01) contract for SCR system, and one (01) contract for ESP system) with a total value ≥ VND 850,000,000,000. *(Example for Case b: The Bidder may combine two (02) SCR contracts, two (02) WFGD contracts, and one (01) (ESP contract; or two (02) SCR contracts, one (01) WFGD contract, and two (02) ESP contracts; or one (01) SCR contract, two (02) WFGD contracts, and two (02) ESP contracts for the total value of the above contracts being ≥ VND 1,700,000,000,000)* **Note:** For Case b, the Bidder is allowed to combine:	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
		corresponding to its assigned scope in the Consortium/Joint Venture in one (01) contract of similar nature as follows: an energy project of at least Grade II with a contract value ≥ the value undertaken by the member in the Consortium/Joint Venture agreement, in the role of a Contractor (a single entity or a Consortium/Joint Venture member) or a Subcontractor.	• one (01) contract including only one (01) system SCR with one (01) contract including two (02) systems (ESP and FGD); or • one (01) contract including only one (01) system (ESP) with one contract including two (02) systems (SCR and FGD); or • one (01) contract including only 0ne (01) system FGD)with one (01) contract including two (02) systems (SCR and ESP). 2. Other member(s) of the Consortium/Joint venture (if any): Every other member(s) of the Consortium/Joint venture shall demonstrate that they have successfully [8] completed the works as same as their tasks assigned in the consortium, in one (01) Contract in the role of a Contractor (a single entity or a Consortium/Joint venture member [9]) or subcontractor. In case a Consortium/Joint Venture member is assigned to undertake the work scope (C) of the Consortium/Joint Venture, such member shall demonstrate that it has successfully completed [8] works corresponding to its assigned scope in the Consortium/Joint	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
			Venture in one (01) contract of similar nature as follows: an energy project of at least Grade II with a contract value ≥ the value undertaken by the member in the Consortium/Joint Venture agreement, in the role of a Contractor (a single entity or a Consortium/Joint Venture member) or a Subcontractor.	
3	Chapter III/Clause 2.3 Specialized Subcontractor	Must have successfully executed at least one (01) similar contract as specified in Item 4, Table III.01 (for Work Package E). The project must have been in successful operation for a minimum of one (01) year as of the Bid Submission Date, and the Owner has evaluated it as meeting quality, schedule, and operational requirements. - Scope of the design unit: Design. * Form for listing similar contracts: Form No. 06A4	Must have successfully executed at least one (01) EPC contract for new construction or retrofitting/upgrading including all three systems: WFGD, ESP, and SCR for a coal-fired thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW; or has carried out at least three (03) EPC contracts for WFGD, ESP, and SCR systems for coal-fired thermal power plants with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW in the capacity of design consultant (for the "E" scope of work). The project must have been in successful operation for at least one (01) year up to the bid closing date and be evaluated by the Employer as satisfactory in terms of quality, schedule, and operational requirements. • Scope of the design consultant: Design.	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
			• Declaration table of similar contracts in accordance with Form No. 06A4.	
4	Chapter III/Table III.02			Please refer to the revised content in Table III.02.
5	Chapter III/Table III.A.01			Please refer to the revised content in Table III.A.01.
6	Chapter III/Table III.A.02			Please refer to the revised content in Table III.A.02.
7	Chapter IV/ BID SUBMISSION FORM	Validity of bid price	Validity of Bidding document	
8	Chapter IV/ BID SUBMISSION FORM	5. The Bidder fails or refuses to finalize the contract within 10 days of receiving the award notification, except as provided under Clause 4 Article 34 of Decree 214/2025/ND-CP or in force majeure events;	5. fails or refuses to complete the contract within 10 days, from the date on which the notification of bid acceptance sent by the Employer's Representative is received, or refuses to conclude the contract after the contract completion excluding in cases stipulated in Clause 4, Article 34 of Decree No. 214 /2025/ND-CP or force majeure events ;	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
9	Chapter IV/ BID SUBMISSION FORM	6. The Bidder fails or refuses to sign the contract within 10 days of contract finalization, except in force majeure events.	6. The Contractor fails to proceed with or refuses to sign the contract within 10 days from the date of contract finalization, except in cases of force majeure.	
10	Chapter V/Clause I	Project Implementation Period During the years from 2023 to 2026	Project Implementation Period During the years from 2023 to 2027	
11	Chapter V/I.7.1	Design flue gas flow: 978.500 m3/h.	Design flue gas flow: 1.642.000 m3/h.	Adjusted to comply with the basic design and specifications presented in Chapter VII.
12	Chapter V/I.8.1	Design flue gas flow rate: 1,073,257 Nm^3/h (standard conditions, wet basis, actual O_2).	Flue gas flow rate at absorber inlet: 1,258,254 Nm^3/h (standard conditions, wet, actual O_2). Flue gas flow rate at absorber outlet: 1,304,741 Nm^3/h (standard conditions, wet, actual O_2)	Adjusted to comply with the basic design and specifications presented in Chapter VII.
13	Chapter V/Clause II	3. Contract Execution Schedule - Duration for survey, design, procurement, construction, installation, system integration, and commissioning completion: 199 days from the effective date of the Contract. - Warranty period: 24 months.	3. Contract Execution Schedule - Duration for survey, design, procurement, construction, installation, system integration, and commissioning completion: 14 months from the effective date of the Contract. - Warranty period: 24 months.	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
14	Chapter VI	- The survey, design, supply of goods, construction, installation, system connection, commissioning, and reliability operation shall be completed within 199 days from the Effective Date of the EPC Contract until the issuance of the Project Completion Acceptance Certificate (PAC) for 4 units of Quang Ninh Thermal Power Plant. In which: + The expected Effective Date of the Contract is 15/06/2026, + Survey, submission of the design proposal (for all four units); fabrication, supply, and delivery of equipment to site (for all four units); construction and installation of equipment independent of the units' operating mode (for all four units) shall be completed within 139 days from the effective date of the Contract. + Construction, installation, interconnection, commissioning, and acceptance for Provisional Acceptance Certificate (PAC) of the Project for all four units: 60 days. + The planned shutdown period for the units to connect the systems is	- The survey, design, supply of goods, construction, installation, system connection, commissioning, and reliability operation shall be completed within 14 months from the Effective Date of the EPC Contract until the issuance of the Project Completion Acceptance Certificate (PAC) for 4 units of Quang Ninh Thermal Power Plant. In which: + The expected Effective Date of the Contract is 31/07/2026, - The latest date for issuance of the Provisional Acceptance Certificate (PAC) is 30/09/2027. - The outage period for shutting down the units to connect the systems, carry out equipment adjustment, and achieve PAC acceptance for the first two units shall be sixty (60) days (tentatively scheduled for shutdown on 31/05/2027), and for the remaining two units shall also be sixty (60) days (tentatively scheduled for shutdown on 31/07/2027). However, the actual outage period may occur earlier or later depending on the dispatch schedule of the power system or the competent authorities.	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
		from 01/10/2026 to 01/12/2026. (However, the actual unit shutdown period will depend on the power system dispatch. The Employer will notify the shutdown schedule 15 days in advance so that the Contractor can carry out the works. In this case, the Employer shall not bear any additional costs incurred.) - The latest date for issuance of the Provisional Acceptance Certificate (PAC) is December 31, 2026. - The total outage duration for all four units for system interconnection, equipment commissioning, and PAC acceptance shall be sixty (60) days (tentatively scheduled to commence on November 1, 2026. However, the actual outage schedule may be earlier or later depending on the power system dispatch or competent authorities. The Employer shall notify the Contractor at least 15 days in advance of the outage schedule to enable the Contractor to prepare and execute the works. In this case, the Employer shall not be responsible for any additional costs incurred).	The Owner shall notify the Contractor of the shutdown schedule at least 15 days in advance so that the Contractor can proactively arrange the work. In this case, the Employer shall not bear any additional costs arising therefrom.	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
15	Chapter VI	- The Contractor shall propose target milestones and key schedule dates for the main work items in the Bid Documents, ensuring that the Project execution schedule does not exceed 199 days from the Effective Date of the Contract.	- The Contractor shall propose target milestones and key schedule dates for the main work items in the Bid Documents, ensuring that the Project execution schedule does not exceed 14 months from the Effective Date of the Contract.	
16	Chapter VII/Clause IV	Table IV.1: Required Parameters for the SCR System	Table IV.1: Required guaranteed parameters for the SCR System	
17	Chapter VII/Clause IV Table IV.1: Required Parameters for the SCR System	Ammonia consumption (under BMCR conditions), 99,5% Ammonia (Kg/h, 1 unit) 501.88	Ammonia consumption (under BMCR conditions), 99,5% Ammonia (Kg/h, 1 unit)	To be proposed by the Bidder.
18	Chapter VII/Clause IV	Table IV.2: Required Parameters for the ESP System	Table IV.2: Required guaranteed parameters for the ESP System	
19	Chapter VII/Clause IV *Table IV.2: Required Parameters for the ESP System*		ESP dust removal efficiency at BMCR condition ≥ 99,95%	
20	Chapter VII/Clause IV	Table IV.3: Required Parameters for the FGD System	Table IV.3: Required guaranteed parameters for the FGD System	
21	Chapter X	**Clause 8.2 Time for Completion**	**Clause 8.2 Time for Completion** Add the following content to Clause 8.2:	

No.	Chapter/Clause	Contents of the issued Bidding Documents	Amended Contents	Notes
		Add the following content to Clause 8.2: "The due date is 199 days from the Effective Date as set forth in the Contract Agreement."	"The due date is 14 months from the Effective Date as set forth in the Contract Agreement."	
22	Chapter X/Appendix 3.1.4	Peformance Guaranteed Parameters	Required Guaranteed Parameters	
23	Chapter X/Appendix 3.1.4 2. Peformance Guaranteed Parameters		The guaranteed performance parameters are referenced in Section IV, Chapter VII.	
24	Chapter X/Appendix 3.1.4		Delete: Guaranteed performance parameters of deNOx system Guaranteed performance parameters of the ESP system Guaranteed performance parameters of FGD system Guaranteed performance parameters of ID Fan Guaranteed performance parameters of VDF	

Chapter III/Table III.02 (Contents in the issued Bidding Document)

No.	Position	Quantity	Educational Qualification	Experience in Similar Works	Minimum Number of Similar Contracts	Notes
1.	Project Director	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	* Similar positions: Project Director/ Construction Director * Similar contracts: Listed in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III * Documentation to prove qualifications: Forms 07A, 07B, and 07C, Chapter IV
2.	Construction Director	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	* Similar positions: Project Director / Construction Director / Chief Engineer or Head of Construction or Installation * Similar contracts: Listed in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III * Documentation to prove qualifications: Forms 07A, 07B, and 07C, Chapter IV
3	Project Design Lead (Manager/Director)	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	* Similar positions: Chief Designer / Design Lead. * Similar contracts: Listed in Item 4, Table V.01, Chapter III. * Documentation to prove qualifications: Forms 07A, 07B, and 07C, Chapter IV.
4.	The team of Senior Engineer in charge of		University degree or higher			* Similar positions: Senior Engineer in a similar role

No.	Position	Quantity	Educational Qualification	Experience in Similar Works	Minimum Number of Similar Contracts	Notes
	Construction, Installation, Commissioning, and Testing					* Similar contracts: Listed in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III * Documentation to prove qualifications: Forms 07A, 07B, and 07C, Chapter IV
(i)	Mechanical	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	
(ii)	Civil/Construction	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	
(iii)	Electrical	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	
(iv)	Instrumentation & Control	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	
(v)	Safety & Environmental Officer	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	
(vi)	Commissioning	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	
5	The team of Design Specialists		University degree or higher		≥ 01	* Similar positions: Design Specialist in a similar role. * Similar contracts: Listed in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III.

No.	Position	Quantity	Educational Qualification	Experience in Similar Works	Minimum Number of Similar Contracts	Notes
						* Documentation to prove qualifications: Forms 07A, 07B, and 07C, Chapter IV
(i)	Boiler Technology	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	
(ii)	Mechanical	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	
(iii)	Civil/Constructio n	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	
(iv)	Electrical	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	
(v)	Instrumentation & Control	01	University degree or higher	Minimum 10 years	≥ 01	

Chapter III/Table III.02 (Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document)

No.	Position	Quantity	Educational Qualification	Required of experience	Notes
1.	Project Director	01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	• Similar position: Project Director or Deputy Project Director / Site Manager of an EPC contract for a new coal thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW or for a retrofitting/upgrading project of the flue gas treatment system of a coal-fired thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW, including at least one (01) or all three (03) systems: wet limestone FGD, SCR DeNOx, and ESP. • Documents evidencing qualifications and experience as specified in Forms 07A, 07B, and 07C of Chapter IV, together with employment contracts, diplomas/degrees, certificates, appointment decisions, or confirmations from the Employer/Project Owner shall be provided (all such documents must be notarized/certified).
2.	Construction Director	01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	• Similar position: Project Director or Deputy Project Director / Site Director or Deputy Site Director / Construction Manager for at least one (01) EPC or PC or EC contract for a new coal thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW or for a retrofitting/upgrading project of the flue gas treatment system of a coal-fired

No.	Position	Quantity	Educational Qualification	Required of experience	Notes
					thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW, including at least one (01) or all three (03) systems: wet limestone FGD, SCR DeNOx, and ESP. • Documents evidencing qualifications and experience as specified in Forms 07A, 07B, and 07C of Chapter IV, together with employment contracts, diplomas/degrees, certificates, appointment decisions, or confirmations from the Employer/Project Owner shall be provided (all such documents must be notarized/certified).
3	Project Design Lead (Manager/Director)	01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	• Similar position: Chief Designer, Deputy Chief Designer / Lead Designer, Deputy Lead Designer for at least one (01) EPC or EP or EC contract for a new coal thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW or for a retrofitting/upgrading project of the flue gas treatment system of a coal-fired thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW, including at least one (01) or all three (03) systems: wet limestone FGD, SCR DeNOx, and ESP. In case the Bidder employs a specialized design subcontractor, the personnel shall be evaluated in accordance with the experience requirements

No.	Position	Quantity	Educational Qualification	Required of experience	Notes
					applicable to the specialized design subcontractor. • Documents evidencing qualifications and experience as specified in Forms 07A, 07B, and 07C of Chapter IV, together with employment contracts, diplomas/degrees, certificates, appointment decisions, or confirmations from the Employer/Project Owner shall be provided (all such documents must be notarized/certified).
4.	The team of Senior Engineer in charge of Construction, Installation, Commissioning, and Testing		University degree or higher		• Similar position: Engineer primarily responsible in a similar position for at least one (01) EPC or EC or PC contract for a new coal thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW or for a retrofitting/upgrading project of the flue gas treatment system of a coal-fired thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW, including at least one (01) or all three (03) systems: wet limestone FGD, SCR DeNOx, and ESP. • Documents evidencing qualifications and experience as specified in Forms 07A, 07B, and
(i)	Mechanical	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	

No.	Position	Quantity	Educational Qualification	Required of experience	Notes
(ii)	Civil/Construction	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	07C of Chapter IV, together with employment contracts, diplomas/degrees, certificates, appointment decisions, or confirmations from the Employer/Project Owner shall be provided (all such documents must be notarized/certified).
(iii)	Electrical	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	
(iv)	Instrumentation & Control	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	
(v)	Safety & Environmental Officer	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	

No.	Position	Quantity	Educational Qualification	Required of experience	Notes
(vi)	Commissioning	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	
5	The team of Design Specialists		University degree or higher		• Similar position: Design specialist in a similar position for at least one (01) EPC or EP or EC contract for a new coal thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW or for a retrofitting/upgrading project of the flue gas treatment system of a coal-fired thermal power plant with unit capacity ≥ 300 MW, including at least one (01) or all three (03) systems: wet limestone FGD, SCR DeNOx, and ESP. In case the Bidder employs a specialized design subcontractor, the personnel shall be evaluated in accordance with the qualification requirements applicable to the specialized design subcontractor. • Documents evidencing qualifications and experience as specified in Forms 07A, 07B, and 07C of Chapter IV, together with employment contracts, diplomas/degrees, certificates, appointment decisions, or confirmations from
(i)	Boiler Technology	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	
(ii)	Mechanical	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	
(iii)	Civil/Construction	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a	

No.	Position	Quantity	Educational Qualification	Required of experience	Notes
				similar position in at least one (01) contract.	the Employer/Project Owner shall be provided (all such documents must be notarized/certified).
(iv)	Electrical	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	
(v)	Instrumentation & Control	≥ 01	University degree or higher	At least 10 years of experience in a similar field or having held a similar position in at least one (01) contract.	

Chapter III/Table III.A.1

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
1			**Experience of the Design Consultant (from 01 January 2011 to the Bid Closing Date)**	**5**	**4**		**4**	
	1.1		Number of similar design–build project contracts executed	5		+ > 2 projects: 100% of maximum score; + 1–2 projects: 80% of maximum score; + < 1 projects: 0 points. Similar projects: As defined in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III. Supporting documents: As required in Chapter III – Evaluation Criteria		+ > 2 projects: 100% of maximum score; + 1–2 projects: 80% of maximum score; + < 1 projects: 0 points. Similar projects: As defined in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III. Supporting documents: As required in Chapter III – Evaluation Criteria
2			**Key Personnel of the Bidder for the Design of the Project**	**8**		The Bidder shall declare and provide supporting documents in accordance with		The Bidder shall declare and provide supporting documents in accordance with Forms 07A, 07B, 07C, Chapter IV and provide

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						Forms 07A, 07B, 07C, Chapter IV.		employment contracts, diplomas/degrees, certificates, appointment decisions, or confirmations from the Employer/Project Owner (all such documents must be notarized/certified). In case the Bidder employs a specialized design subcontractor, the personnel shall be evaluated in accordance with the qualification requirements applicable to the specialized design subcontractor.
	2.1		Chief Design Coordinator (Manager/Director)	3	**2.4**	+ > 2 projects: 100% of maximum score; + 1-2 projects: 80%; + < 1 projects: 0 points. Similar projects: As defined in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III.		Project implementation experience: + > 2 projects: 100% of maximum score; + 1-2 projects: 80%; + < 1 projects: 0 points. or Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score.

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
								+ From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point.
	2.2		Electrical Design Lead	1	0.8	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100%; + 1 project: 80%; + 0 project: 0 points. Similar projects: As defined in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III.	0.8	Project implementation experience: + > 2 projects: 100% of maximum score; + 1-2 projects: 80%; + < 12 projects: 0 points. or Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score. + From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point.
	2.3		C&I (Control & Instrumentation) Design Lead	1	0.8	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100%; + 1 project: 80%; + 0 project: 0 points. Similar projects: As defined in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III.	0.8	

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
	2.4		Civil & Architectural Design Lead	1	0.8	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100%; + 1 project: 80%; + 0 project: 0 points. Similar projects: As defined in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III.	0.8	Project implementation experience: + > 2 projects: 100% of maximum score; + 1-2 projects: 80%; + < 12 projects: 0 points. or Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score. + From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point.
	2.5		Mechanical Design	1	0.8	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100%; + 1 project: 80%; + 0 project: 0 points. Similar projects: As defined in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III.	0.8	Project implementation experience: + > 2 projects: 100% of maximum score; + 1-2 projects: 80%; + < 12 projects: 0 points. or Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score.

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
								+ From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point.
	2.6		Boiler Technology Design Lead	1	0.8	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100%; + 1 project: 80%; + 0 project: 0 points. Similar projects: As defined in Item 4, Table III.01, Chapter III.	0.8	Project implementation experience: + > 2 projects: 100% of maximum score; + 1-2 projects: 80%; + < 12 projects: 0 points. or Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score. + From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point.
3			**Approach and Methodology**	**12**				
	3.1		Organization, number of experts for design	2		+ Detailed number of experts by discipline and appropriate		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						organizational chart: 100%; + Adequate but not detailed: 80%; + Missing one of the two: 0 points.		
	3.2		The Bidder's presentation on the plan for site surveys, investigations, and the collection of field data and information for the Project.	2		+ A detailed and specific plan for surveys, investigations, and collection of data and information of the existing plant to support the design works: 100% of the maximum score; + A plan for surveys, investigations, and data and information collection is provided, but lacks sufficient detail: 80% of the maximum score.		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						+ Not provided: 0 points.		
	3.3		Proposed design solutions and the sequence of design activities for the Project.	2		+ Detailed presentation of the proposed solutions and the step-by-step implementation of the design works: 100% of the maximum score; + Proposed solutions and design steps are provided at a general level only, without sufficient detail: 80% of the maximum score. + Not provided: 0 points.		
	3.4		The coordination methodology among the Design Consultant, the	2		+ A clear, reasonable, and practicable coordination methodology among the Design Consultant, the Main		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			Main Contractor, and the Owner			Contractor, and the Owner is provided: 100% of the maximum score; + A coordination methodology among the Design Consultant, the Main Bidder, and the Owner is provided, but is not sufficiently reasonable or is difficult to implement: 80% of the maximum score; + Not provided: 0 points.		
	3.5		The design standards to be applied, taking into account the natural conditions at the Project site.	2		+ The design standards proposed by the Bidder are equivalent to or higher than those specified in the Bidding Documents, including the latest design standards, and take into account the		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						natural conditions at the Project site, such as wind load, seismic load, etc.: 100% of the maximum score; + The main design standards specified in the Bidding Documents are provided and consider the site conditions, but the information is incomplete: 80% of the maximum score. + Design standards are not provided, or are provided but do not take into account the natural conditions at the Project site: 0 points.		
	3.6		The content and programme for quality control and assurance,	2		+ Provides the content and programme for on-site design control, including staffing		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			including measures to coordinate between the design works and the fabrication of equipment, and on-site construction and installation activities.			arrangements, coordination with equipment fabrication, construction, and installation activities, and design deliverable verification: 100% of the maximum score; + Indicates that an on-site design control programme exists, but without a detailed description of the tasks to be performed: 80% of the maximum score; + Not provided: 0 points.		
4			**Proposal for the refurbishment of the wet limestone FGD system, the**	**75**				

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			upgrade of the ESP system, the new design of the SCR-type NOx removal system, and the replacement of the flue gas fan with a variable frequency drive.					
	4.1		Overall Site Layout Design	10		+ Presented in a detailed and specific manner, fully consistent with the overall site layout in the Bidding Documents: 100% of the maximum score; + Presented in a manner consistent with the overall layout but lacking sufficient detail: 80% of the maximum score;		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						+ Not presented or not consistent: 0 points.		
	4.2		Detailed design submission in compliance with the requirements of QCVN 19:2024/BTNMT.	**29**				
			Group 1 – Technological solutions for the SCR system and its auxiliary equipment, the ESP system and its auxiliary equipment, the FGD system, and the flue gas fan with a variable frequency drive and its auxiliary equipment: *1. SCR System:*	*12*		+ Presented in a detailed and specific manner, fully compliant with the Bidding Documents (including complete explanations of all items and detailed content, with drawings equal to or more detailed than those in the Bidding Documents): 100% of the maximum score; + Presented in a manner consistent with the Bidding		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			• *Present the technological design solution for the NOx removal system suitable for the Project;* • *Solution for handling the formation of ammonium bisulfate (NH_4HSO_4, ABS) or ammonium sulfate ($(NH_4)_2SO_4$, AS);*			Documents but lacking detail (including main items and basic content, but explanations/drawings are less detailed than those in the Bidding Documents): 80% of the maximum score; + Content not provided or the proposed content does not meet the requirements of the Bidding Documents: 0 points.		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			• *Solution for uniform distributio n of flue gas into the systems.* *2. ESP System:* • *Present the technologi cal design solution for upgrading the dust removal system suitable for the Project;* • *Present a solution to prevent back corona.*					

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			• *Present a solution to prevent secondary dust emission/entrainment by the flue gas;* • *Solution for uniform distribution of flue gas into the systems.* *3. FGD System:* • *Present the technological design solution for upgrading the SO_2 removal*					

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			system suitable for the Project; • *Present a solution to control material corrosion downstream of the FGD;* • *Solution for uniform distribution of flue gas into the systems.* • *Present the technological design solution for the replaceme*					

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			nt of the flue gas fan with a variable frequency drive suitable for the Project.					
			Group 2 – Electrical System Solutions	*3*				
			- Electrical design solution for the SCR system; *- Electrical design solution for the ESP system;* *- Electrical design solution*			+ Presented in a detailed and specific manner, fully compliant with the Bidding Documents (including complete explanations of all items and detailed content, with drawings equal to or more detailed than those in the Bidding		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			for the FGD system; *- Electrical design solution for the flue gas fan with a variable frequency drive.*			Documents): 100% of the maximum score; + Presented in a manner consistent with the Bidding Documents but lacking detail (including main items and basic content, but explanations/drawings are less detailed than those in the Bidding Documents): 80% of the maximum score; + Content not provided or the proposed content does not meet the requirements of the Bidding Documents: 0 points.		
			Group 3 – Instrumentation and Control (C&I) Design Solutions	5				

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			+ *Instrumentation and Control (C&I) design solution for the SCR system;* + *Instrumentation and Control (C&I) design solution for the ESP system;* + *Instrumentation and Control (C&I) design solution for the FGD system;* + *Instrumentation and Control (C&I) design solution for the flue gas fan with a variable frequency drive.*			+ Presented in a detailed and specific manner, fully compliant with the Bidding Documents (including complete explanations of all items and detailed content, with drawings equal to or more detailed than those in the Bidding Documents): 100% of the maximum score; + Presented in a manner consistent with the Bidding Documents but lacking detail (including main items and basic content, while explanations/drawings are less detailed than those in the Bidding Documents): 80% of the maximum score;		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						+ Content not provided or the proposed content does not meet the requirements of the Bidding Documents: 0 points.		
			Group 4 – Design Solutions for Additional Fire Protection (FPP) Systems Suitable for the Project	*2*		+ Presented in a detailed and specific manner, fully compliant with the Bidding Documents (including complete explanations of all items and detailed content, with drawings equal to or more detailed than those in the Bidding Documents): 100% of the maximum score; + Presented in a manner consistent with the Bidding Documents but lacking detail (including main items		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						and basic content, while explanations/drawings are less detailed than those in the Bidding Documents): 80% of the maximum score; + Content not provided or the proposed content does not meet the requirements of the Bidding Documents: 0 points.		
			Group 5 – Construction and Installation Design Solutions	*7*				
			+ Construction and installation design solution for the SCR system; *+ Construction design solution*			+ Presented specifically and in detail, fully compliant with the Bidding Documents (including complete descriptions of all items and detailed contents; drawings fully detailed		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			for the ESP system; *+ Construction and installation design solution for the FGD system;* *+ Construction and installation design solution for the induced draft fan with a variable frequency drive.*			as per or exceeding the Bidding Documents): 100% of the maximum score; + Presented appropriately but not in detail (includes headings and basic contents; however, the descriptions/drawings are less detailed than the Bidding Documents): 80% of the maximum score; + No content or proposed content does not meet the requirements of the Bidding Documents: 0 points.		
	4.3		Bidder's presentation on design calculations and	**32**				

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			warranty commitments for the SCR, ESP, FGD systems, and induced draft fan with variable frequency drive **(Section IV – Warranty Parameters, Chapter VII – Technical Requirements).**					
		4.3.1	Developing the model and performing flue gas flow calculations downstream of the boiler.	10				
			The Bidder shall carry out calculations and	*5*	4	+ CFD modeling with results fully compliant:		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			design of the boiler and the flue gas duct system downstream of the boiler for the SCR, ESP, and FGD systems using a CFD model.			100% of the maximum score; + CFD modeling with results acceptable but not fully compliant: 80% of the maximum score; + No CFD modeling or modeling with non-compliant results: 0 points.		
			Calculation of the flow rate, temperature, and resistance of the flue gas at the inlet and outlet for all systems, including the SCR systems, air heaters, ESP, induced draft fans, and FGD.	*5*	5	+ Full calculations provided and consistent with the project boiler: 100% of the maximum score; + No calculations provided, or calculations are incomplete or inconsistent: 0 points.		
		4.3.2	*SCR system*	*12*				

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			Design calculations and performance warranty commitments for NOx removal efficiency	*2*	*1.6*	+ Complete and appropriate calculations with a performance guarantee > 90%: 100% of the maximum score; + Complete and appropriate calculations with a performance guarantee between 88% and 90%: 80% of the maximum score; + No calculations or inappropriate calculations, and/or a performance guarantee < 88% or no guarantee: 0 points.		
			Design calculations and performance guarantee for NH_3 consumption.	*2*	*2*	+ Reasonable calculation with input parameters consistent with the plant's design and operation, and with commitment on NH_3		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						consumption: 100% of the maximum score; + No calculation provided, or calculation provided but not reasonable and without commitment on NH_3 consumption: 0 points		
			Calculation of the design and performance guarantee of the system's electricity consumption	*2*	*2*	+ Reasonable calculation with input parameters consistent with the plant's design and operation and with commitment on electricity consumption: 100% of the maximum score; + No calculations, or calculations that are unreasonable and/or no commitment on electricity consumption: 0 points.		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			Commitment to residual NH_3 concentration.	*2*	*1.6*	+ Commitment to residual NH_3 < 2 ppm: 100% of the maximum score; + Commitment to residual NH_3 from 2 ppm to 3 ppm: 80% of the maximum score; + Commitment to residual NH_3 > 3 ppm: 0 points.		
			Degree of conversion of SO_2 in the flue gas to SO_3.	*2*	*2*	+ Commitment to SO_2 conversion to SO_3 ≤ 1%: 100% of the maximum score; + No commitment or commitment to SO_2 conversion to SO_3 > 1%: 0 points.		
			Commitment to catalyst lifetime, operating hours,	*2*	*1.6*	+ Commitment to a catalyst lifetime > 30,000 hours, with a guarantee on		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			and performance degradation.			performance degradation: 100% of the maximum score; + Commitment to a catalyst lifetime from 24,000 to 30,000 hours: 80% of the maximum score; + Commitment to a catalyst lifetime < 24,000 hours and no guarantee on performance degradation: 0 points. The Bidder shall confirm the catalyst lifetime and performance degradation from clients who have already operated this type of catalyst for a minimum of 24,000 hours.		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
		4.3.3	*ESP system*	*4*				
			Design calculations and warranty commitments for dust removal efficiency.	*2*	*1.6*	+ Full and appropriate calculations with a committed efficiency >99.98%: 100% of the maximum score; + Full and appropriate calculations with a committed efficiency from 99.95% to 99.98%: 80% of the maximum score; + No calculations, or calculations not appropriate, and/or committed efficiency <99.95% or no commitment: 0 points		
			Calculation of system design and commitment to	*2*	*2*	+ Reasonable calculation with input parameters consistent with the plant's design		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
			electrical power consumption			and operation and commitment provided: 100% of the maximum score; + No calculation provided, or calculation provided but not reasonable and/or without commitment on power consumption: 0 points.		
		4.3.4	*FGD system*	*6*				
			Design calculations and performance guarantee commitment for SO_2 removal efficiency and SO_2 concentration at the stack.	*2*	*1.6*	+ Complete and appropriate calculations with a committed performance efficiency >97%: 100% of the maximum score; + Complete and appropriate calculations with a committed performance efficiency from 95.2% to 97%:		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						80% of the maximum score; + No calculations, or calculations are not appropriate, and/or committed performance efficiency <95.2%, or no commitment: 0 points.		
			Design calculations and guaranteed commitment for limestone consumption (for 2 units).	*2*	*2*	+ Reasonable calculation with input parameters consistent with the plant's design and operation, and with commitment on limestone consumption: 100% of the maximum score; + No calculation provided, or calculation provided but not reasonable and without commitment on		

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						limestone consumption: 0 points.		
			Design calculations and warranty commitment for the system's electrical power consumption.	*2*	*2*	+ Reasonable calculation with input parameters consistent with the plant's design and operation, and with commitment on power consumption: 100% of the maximum score; + No calculation provided, or calculation provided but not reasonable and without commitment on power consumption: 0 points.		
	4.4		*Design schedule and implementation steps*	*4*	*3.2*	+ Detailed design schedule and implementation steps, fully aligned with the project timeline: 100% of the maximum score;		+ Detailed design schedule and implementation steps, fully aligned with the project timeline: 100% of the maximum score; + Design schedule and implementation steps

					Contents in the issued Bidding Document		Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document	
Chapter	**Item**	**Sub-item**	**Description**	**Maximum Score**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**	**Minimum Score**	**Evaluation Criteria (Responsiveness Factor)**
						+ Design schedule and implementation steps aligned with the project timeline but not detailed: 80% of the maximum score; + Design schedule and implementation steps not aligned with the project timeline: 0 points + (The project timeline is specified in Section 2, Chapter V: Requirements for the Tender Package)		aligned with the project timeline but not detailed: 80% of the maximum score; + Design schedule and implementation steps not aligned with the project timeline: 0 points (The project timeline is specified in Clause II.3, Chapter V and Chapter VI)
			Total	**100**	**80**			

Chapter III/Table III.A.03 (Contents in the issued Bidding Document)

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
1			**Project Implementation Management**	**19**		
	1.1		Organizational chart for project management by the main Contractor executing the EPC package	5		The compliance factor is calculated as follows: - An organizational chart for project management, including personnel allocation and a detailed description of specific tasks for each department and position: 100% of the maximum score; - An organizational chart is provided with explanations, but it is not detailed and remains superficial: 80% of the maximum score. - No organizational chart for project management is provided: 0 points.
	1.2		On-Site Organizational Chart	4		The compliance factor is calculated as follows: - On-site organizational chart, including departments for schedule management, construction execution, installation works, safety, health, and environmental management; with

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
						explanations of the functions of each department and the proposed personnel positions for department heads: 100% of the maximum score - On-site organizational chart including all departments, with explanations provided but not detailed or still basic: 80% of the maximum score; - No on-site organizational chart: 0 points.
	1.3		Contract implementation schedule as specified in Chapter VI – Package Schedule Mobilization chart: a) Personnel; b) Materials and equipment; c) Construction machinery.	10	8	+ Contract implementation schedule < 07 months, with a detailed work schedule for all items, showing the project critical path and complete mobilization charts: 100% of the maximum score; + Contract implementation schedule = 07 months, with a detailed work schedule for all items, showing the project critical path and complete mobilization charts: 80% of the maximum score; + Contract implementation schedule > 07 months: 0 points.
2			**Bidder's Experience in Installation Works**	**10**	**8**	
	2.1		Has experience in the construction and installation of similar	10	8	Contracts including installation and commissioning of similar projects:

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
			contracts/projects previously executed **(Similar projects: as defined in Section 4, Table III.01, Chapter III).**			+ ≥ 3 contracts: 100% of the maximum score; + 1-2 contracts: 80% of the maximum score; + < 1 contract: 0 points.
3			**Personnel for the Project**	**34**		Requirements and supporting documentation similar to item 2.2.a "Evaluation Criteria for Key Personnel," Chapter III of the Bidding Documents (HSMT)
	3.1		Project Manager	6		
		3.1.1	*Total Years of Work Experience*	*3*	*2.4*	+ ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score; + From 10 years to <15 years: 80% of the maximum score; + <10 years: 0 points
		3.1.2	*Serving as Project Director in similar projects*	*3*	*2.4*	+ > 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score; + 1-2 projects: 80% of the maximum score; + < 1 project: 0 points.
	3.2		Site Manager	5		
			Total years of professional experience	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score; + From 10 years to <15 years: 80% of the maximum score; + <10 years: 0 points
			Serving as the Construction Site Manager in similar contracts	*3*	*2.4*	+ > 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score; + 1-2 projects: 80% of the maximum score; + < 1 project: 0 points.

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
	3.3		Chief Engineer in charge of construction and installation works	4		
			Total years of work experience	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score; + From 10 years to <15 years: 80% of the maximum score; + <10 years: 0 points
			Holding a similar position in comparable contracts	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score; + 1 project: 80% of the maximum score; + < 1 project: 0 points.
	3.4		Lead Electrical Engineer	4		
			Total years of work experience	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score; + From 10 years to <15 years: 80% of the maximum score; + <10 years: 0 points
			Holding a similar position in comparable contracts	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score; + 1 project: 80% of the maximum score; + < 1 project: 0 points.
	3.5		Lead Engineer for C&I	4		
			Total years of work experience	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score; + From 10 years to <15 years: 80% of the maximum score; + <10 years: 0 points
			Holding a similar position in comparable contracts	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score; + 1 project: 80% of the maximum score; + < 1 project: 0 points.

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
	3.6		Lead Mechanical Engineer	4		+
			Total years of work experience	*2*		+ ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score; + From 10 years to <15 years: 80% of the maximum score; + <10 years: 0 points
			Holding a similar position in comparable contracts	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score; + 1 project: 80% of the maximum score; + < 1 project: 0 points.
	3.7		Senior Officer in charge of Safety, Health, and Environment (SHE)	3		
			Total years of work experience	*1*	*0.8*	+ ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score; + From 10 years to <15 years: 80% of the maximum score; + <10 years: 0 points
			Holding a similar position in comparable contracts	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score; + 1 project: 80% of the maximum score; + < 1 project: 0 points.
	3.8		*Engineer in charge of commissioning.*	*4*		
			Total years of work experience	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score; + From 10 years to <15 years: 80% of the maximum score; + <10 years: 0 points
			Holding a similar position in comparable contracts	*2*	*1.6*	+ ≥ 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score; + 1 project: 80% of the maximum score; + < 1 project: 0 points.

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
4			**Construction Works**	**10**		
	4.1		Technical Solutions and Main Construction Methods for Key Works	5		The evaluation principles are as follows: + Clearly presented in detail and assessed as appropriate for the scope of work: 100% of the maximum score; + Presented but not in sufficient detail: 80% of the maximum score; + Not presented: 0 points.
		4.1.1	Site preparation and Commencement works.	*1*		
		4.1.2	Solutions for power supply, water supply, drainage, transportation, and communication during the construction period;	*2*		
		4.1.3	Main construction methods for SCR, ESP, FGD, and other principal works;	*2*		
	4.2		Construction machinery and equipment (quantity, types, etc. shall be appropriate to the construction schedule, work volume, and site conditions.	5		
5			**Equipment installation works;**	**10**		

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
	5.1		Installation works for SCR, ESP, FGD systems, and associated auxiliary equipment;	2		The evaluation principles are as follows: + Presented in detail and assessed as appropriate to the scope of work: 100% of the maximum score; + Presented but not in sufficient detail: 80% of the maximum score; + Not presented: 0 points.
	5.2		Installation of steel support/suspension structures for equipment and enclosure building structures;	2		
	5.3		Installation of the electrical supply system;	2		
	5.4		Installation of instrumentation and control (C&I) systems;	2		
	5.5		Installation of the fire protection system.	2		
6			**Measures to ensure occupational safety and health, environmental protection, and fire and explosion prevention at the Site.**	**6**		+ The evaluation principles are as follows: + Detailed presentation of measures to ensure occupational safety and health, environmental protection, and fire and explosion prevention at the Site: 100% of the maximum score; + Measures are presented but not in sufficient detail: 80% of the maximum score; + Not presented: 0 points.
	6.1		Environmental mitigation and protection measures: a) Noise;	2		

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
			b) Dust and emissions; c) Vibration; d) Wastewater control; e) Waste management and sanitation.			
	6.3		Fire and explosion prevention: a) Applicable regulations, codes, and standards; b) Technical solutions, measures, and provision of fire and explosion prevention equipment; c) Plans, measures, and procedures for response in the event of fire or explosion; d) Organizational structure for the management of the fire and explosion prevention system.	2		
	6.2		Occupational safety and health: a) Organization of training, implementation, and supervision of occupational safety; b) Measures to ensure occupational safety for each stage of construction; c) Traffic safety for access to and from the Site; d) Site security, and management of	2		

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
			personnel and equipment; e) Safety management for the Works.			
7			**Measures for organizing supervision, commissioning, testing, acceptance, and handover training.**	**6**		
	7.1		Measures for organizing supervision, commissioning, testing, and acceptance;	2		+ Clearly describe the measures and procedures for supervision, testing, commissioning, and acceptance, including the allocation of personnel: 100% of the maximum score. + Content is provided but not detailed: 80% of the maximum score. + No content provided: 0 points.
	7.2		Training activities (including technology transfer, if any).	4		+ Clearly specified: 100% of the maximum score. + Specified but not in detail: 80% of the maximum score. + Not specified: 0 points.
8			**Requirements for Warranty and Maintenance: Proposal of the warranty and maintenance period, including measures for performing warranty and maintenance work and for rectifying defects during the warranty period.**	**5**		+ Clearly specified: 100% of the maximum score. + Specified but not in detail: 80% of the maximum score. + Not specified: 0 points.

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
			Proposed Warranty and Maintenance Period	**3**		+ Proposed period > 24 months: 100% of the maximum score; + Proposed period = 24 months: 80% of the maximum score; + Proposed period < 24 months: 0 points.
			Measures for performing warranty and maintenance work and for addressing defects during the warranty period.	**2**		+ Clearly specified: 100% of the maximum score. + Specified but not in detail: 80% of the maximum score. + Not specified: 0 points.
			Total	**100**	**80**	

Chapter III/Table III.A.03 (Contents of the Amendments to the Bidding Document)

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
Group of Items	Item	Subitem				
1			**Project Implementation Management**	**19**		

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
	1.1		Organizational chart for project management by the main Contractor executing the EPC package	5		The compliance factor is calculated as follows: - An organizational chart for project management, including personnel allocation and a detailed description of specific tasks for each department and position: 100% of the maximum score; - An organizational chart is provided with explanations, but it is not detailed and remains superficial: 80% of the maximum score. - No organizational chart for project management is provided: 0 points.
	1.2		On-Site Organizational Chart	4		The compliance factor is calculated as follows: - On-site organizational chart, including departments for schedule management, construction execution, installation works, safety, health, and environmental management; with explanations of the functions of each department and the proposed personnel positions for department heads: 100% of the maximum score - On-site organizational chart including all departments, with explanations provided but not detailed or still basic: 80% of the maximum score;

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
						- No on-site organizational chart: 0 points.
	1.3		Contract implementation schedule as specified in Chapter VI – Package Schedule Mobilization chart: a) Personnel; b) Materials and equipment; c) Construction machinery.	10	8	+ Contract implementation schedule < 10 months, with a detailed work schedule for all items, showing the project critical path and complete mobilization charts: 100% of the maximum score; + Contract implementation schedule from 10 - 14 months, with a detailed work schedule for all items, showing the project critical path and complete mobilization charts: 80% of the maximum score; + Contract implementation schedule > 14 months: 0 points.
2			**Bidder's Experience in Installation Works**	**10**	**8**	
	2.1		Has experience in the construction and installation of similar contracts/projects previously executed **(Similar projects: as defined in Section 4, Table III.01, Chapter III).**	10	8	Contracts including installation and commissioning of similar projects: + > 2 contracts: 100% of the maximum score; + 1-2 contracts: 80% of the maximum score; + < 1 contract: 0 points.
3			**Personnel for the Project**	**34**		Requirements and supporting documentation similar to item 2.2.a "Evaluation Criteria for Key Personnel," Chapter III of the Bidding Documents (HSMT)
	3.1		Project Manager	6	4.8	Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score.

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
						+ From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point. Or Experience in terms of number of implemented projects: + > 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score. + 1 to 2 projects: 80% of the maximum score. + < 01 project: 0 point.
	3.2		Site Manager	5	4.0	Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score. + From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point. Or Experience in terms of number of implemented projects: + > 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score. + 1 to 2 projects: 80% of the maximum score. + < 01 project: 0 point.
	3.3		Chief Engineer in charge of construction and installation works	4	3.2	Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score. + From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point. Or Experience in terms of number of implemented projects:

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
						+ > 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score. + 1 to 2 projects: 80% of the maximum score. + < 01 project: 0 point.
	3.4		Lead Electrical Engineer	4	3.2	Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score. + From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point. Or Experience in terms of number of implemented projects: + > 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score. + 1 to 2 projects: 80% of the maximum score. + < 01 project: 0 point.
	3.5		Lead Engineer for C&I	4	3.2	Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score. + From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point. Or Experience in terms of number of implemented projects: + > 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score. + 1 to 2 projects: 80% of the maximum score. < 01 project: 0 point.
	3.6		Lead Mechanical Engineer	4	3.2	Number of years of experience:

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
						+ ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score. + From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point. Or Experience in terms of number of implemented projects: + > 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score. + 1 to 2 projects: 80% of the maximum score. < 01 project: 0 point.
	3.7		Senior Officer in charge of Safety, Health, and Environment (SHE)	3	2.4	Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score. + From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point. Or Experience in terms of number of implemented projects: + > 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score. + 1 to 2 projects: 80% of the maximum score. + < 01 project: 0 point.
	3.8		*Engineer in charge of commissioning.*	*4*	*3.2*	Number of years of experience: + ≥ 15 years: 100% of the maximum score. + From 10 years to < 15 years: 80% of the maximum score. + < 10 years: 0 point. Or

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
						Experience in terms of number of implemented projects: + > 2 projects: 100% of the maximum score. + 1 to 2 projects: 80% of the maximum score. + < 01 project: 0 point.
4			**Construction Works**	**10**		
	4.1		Technical Solutions and Main Construction Methods for Key Works	5		The evaluation principles are as follows: + Clearly presented in detail and assessed as appropriate for the scope of work: 100% of the maximum score; + Presented but not in sufficient detail: 80% of the maximum score; + Not presented: 0 points.
		4.1.1	Site preparation and Commencement works.	*1*		
		4.1.2	Solutions for power supply, water supply, drainage, transportation, and communication during the construction period;	*2*		
		4.1.3	Main construction methods for SCR, ESP, FGD, and other principal works;	*2*		
	4.2		Construction machinery and equipment (quantity, types, etc. shall be appropriate to the construction schedule, work volume, and site conditions.	5		

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
5			**Equipment installation works;**	**10**		
	5.1		Installation works for SCR, ESP, FGD systems, and associated auxiliary equipment;	2		The evaluation principles are as follows: + Presented in detail and assessed as appropriate to the scope of work: 100% of the maximum score; + Presented but not in sufficient detail: 80% of the maximum score; + Not presented: 0 points.
	5.2		Installation of steel support/suspension structures for equipment and enclosure building structures;	2		
	5.3		Installation of the electrical supply system;	2		
	5.4		Installation of instrumentation and control (C&I) systems;	2		
	5.5		Installation of the fire protection system.	2		
6			**Measures to ensure occupational safety and health, environmental protection, and fire and explosion prevention at the Site.**	**6**		+ The evaluation principles are as follows: + Detailed presentation of measures to ensure occupational safety and health, environmental protection, and fire and explosion prevention at the Site: 100% of the maximum score; + Measures are presented but not in sufficient detail: 80% of the maximum score; + Not presented: 0 points.
	6.1		Environmental mitigation and protection measures: a) Noise; b) Dust and emissions; c) Vibration;	2		

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
			d) Wastewater control; e) Waste management and sanitation.			
	6.3		Fire and explosion prevention: a) Applicable regulations, codes, and standards; b) Technical solutions, measures, and provision of fire and explosion prevention equipment; c) Plans, measures, and procedures for response in the event of fire or explosion; d) Organizational structure for the management of the fire and explosion prevention system.	2		
	6.2		Occupational safety and health: a) Organization of training, implementation, and supervision of occupational safety; b) Measures to ensure occupational safety for each stage of construction; c) Traffic safety for access to and from the Site; d) Site security, and management of personnel and equipment; e) Safety management for the Works.	2		
7			**Measures for organizing supervision, commissioning, testing, acceptance, and handover training.**	**6**		

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
	7.1		Measures for organizing supervision, commissioning, testing, and acceptance;	2		+ Clearly describe the measures and procedures for supervision, testing, commissioning, and acceptance, including the allocation of personnel: 100% of the maximum score. + Content is provided but not detailed: 80% of the maximum score. + No content provided: 0 points.
	7.2		Training activities (including technology transfer, if any).	4		+ Clearly specified: 100% of the maximum score. + Specified but not in detail: 80% of the maximum score. + Not specified: 0 points.
8			**Requirements for Warranty and Maintenance: Proposal of the warranty and maintenance period, including measures for performing warranty and maintenance work and for rectifying defects during the warranty period.**	**5**		+ Clearly specified: 100% of the maximum score. + Specified but not in detail: 80% of the maximum score. + Not specified: 0 points.
			Proposed Warranty and Maintenance Period	**3**		+ Proposed period > 24 months: 100% of the maximum score; + Proposed period = 24 months: 80% of the maximum score; + Proposed period < 24 months: 0 points.
			Measures for performing warranty and maintenance work and for	**2**		+ Clearly specified: 100% of the maximum score.

Code			The system items	Maximum score	Minimum score	Scoring criteria
			addressing defects during the warranty period.			+ Specified but not in detail: 80% of the maximum score. + Not specified: 0 points.
			Total	**100**	**80**	